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A polynomial $\boldsymbol{p}=\left(p_{0}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ is a finite sequence of real numbers. The function $\boldsymbol{p}(x)$ induced by $\boldsymbol{p}$ is defined by

$$
\boldsymbol{p}(x):=\sum_{i=0}^{n} p_{j} x^{j} .
$$

For polynomials $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{b}=\left(b_{0}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$, we define their sum as $\boldsymbol{a}+\boldsymbol{b}:=\left(a_{0}+b_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}+b_{n}\right)$, and their product as $\boldsymbol{a} * \boldsymbol{b}:=\left(c_{0}, \ldots, c_{2 n}\right)$ where $c_{k}:=\sum_{j+j^{\prime}=k} a_{j} b_{j^{\prime}}$. It is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{align*}
(\boldsymbol{a}+\boldsymbol{b})(x) & =\boldsymbol{a}(x)+\boldsymbol{b}(x) \\
(\boldsymbol{a} * \boldsymbol{b})(x) & =\boldsymbol{a}(x) \boldsymbol{b}(x) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x$. So polynomial addition and multiplication are in line with the usual notions of function addition and multiplication.

Multiplying two polynomials needs $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ time if we follow the definition plainly. Can we do it faster? To this end we need an alternative representation.

Let us fix $m+1$ points $X=\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$. Given an arbitrary polynomial $\boldsymbol{p}=\left(p_{0}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ where $n \leqslant m$, we evaluate the function $\boldsymbol{p}(x)$ on $X$. This can be expressed as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{p}\left(x_{0}\right)  \tag{2}\\
\boldsymbol{p}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
\vdots \\
\boldsymbol{p}\left(x_{m}\right)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & x_{0} & \cdots & x_{0}^{m} \\
1 & x_{1} & \cdots & x_{1}^{m} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & x_{m} & \cdots & x_{m}^{m}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
p_{0} \\
p_{1} \\
\vdots \\
p_{n} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{0}$ pads the vector with $m-n$ zeros. The van der Monde matrix in the middle is invertible as $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}$ are distinct. Hence ( $p_{0}, \ldots, p_{n}$ ) and ( $\left.\boldsymbol{p}\left(x_{0}\right), \ldots, \boldsymbol{p}\left(x_{m}\right)\right)$ uniquely determine each other, and we shall call them the standard and functional representations, respectively, of the same polynomial $p$.

[^0]In the functional representation, polynomial product becomes point-wise product; see (1). This suggests the following method for computing $\boldsymbol{a} * \boldsymbol{b}=\boldsymbol{c}$.

- Fix $m:=2 n$ and distinct points $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}$.
- Convert $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ to functional representations ( $\boldsymbol{a}\left(x_{0}\right), \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}\left(x_{m}\right)$ ) and $\left(\boldsymbol{b}\left(x_{0}\right), \ldots, \boldsymbol{b}\left(x_{m}\right)\right)$.
- Multiply point-wise to obtain $\left(\boldsymbol{c}\left(x_{0}\right), \ldots, \boldsymbol{c}\left(x_{m}\right)\right)$, the functional representation of $\boldsymbol{c}$.
- Convert $c$ back to its standard representation.

The "multiply" step costs merely $\Theta(n)$ time. Next we will show how to implement the conversions in $\Theta(n \log n)$ time.

We begin with the forward conversion, i.e. evaluating a polynomial $p=\left(p_{0}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ at points $X$. A naïve implementation would incur $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ cost. To speed it up, let us try divide-and-conquer by breaking the evaluation at $x \in X$ into odd and even parts:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{p}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} p_{j} x^{j} & =\sum_{j=0}^{n / 2} p_{2 j} x^{2 j}+\sum_{j=0}^{n / 2} p_{2 j+1} x^{2 j+1} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{n / 2} p_{2 j}\left(x^{2}\right)^{j}+x \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n / 2} p_{2 j+1}\left(x^{2}\right)^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, denoting $p_{\text {even }}:=\left(p_{0}, p_{2}, \ldots\right)$ and $p_{\text {odd }}:=\left(p_{1}, p_{3}, \ldots\right)$, we have the identity $\boldsymbol{p}(x)=\boldsymbol{p}_{\text {even }}\left(x^{2}\right)+x \cdot \boldsymbol{p}_{\text {odd }}\left(x^{2}\right)$. This immediately leads to the following algorithm:
fn evaluate $(\boldsymbol{p}, \mathrm{X})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if } p=\left(p_{0}\right) \text { then } \\
& \text { return }\left(p_{0}, \ldots, p_{0}\right) \\
& \text { else } \\
& X^{\prime}:=\left\{x^{2}: x \in X\right\} \\
& p_{\text {even }}:=\left(p_{0}, p_{2}, \ldots\right) \\
& p_{\text {odd }}:=\left(p_{1}, p_{3}, \ldots\right) \\
& \text { return evaluate }\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{\text {even }}, X^{\prime}\right)+X \cdot \text { evaluate }\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{\text {odd }}, X^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $T(n)$ denote the running time. Clearly we have the recursion

$$
T(n)=2 T(n / 2)+\Theta(m),
$$

thus $T=\Theta(n m)=\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$. Unfortunately it is no better than the naïve solution.

But we have a last resort. So far we did not assume any specific property of the evaluation points $X$. Can we craft $X$ so that it halves in size after each recursive call?

Yes! If we set $X:=\{(m+1)$-th roots of unity $\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, then after squaring, one half of the points fold into the other half, and we obtain $X^{\prime}=\left\{\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right)\right.$-th roots of unity $\}$. With this choice, the running time recursion becomes

$$
T(n+m)=2 T((n+m) / 2) .
$$

Hence $T=\Theta(n \log n)$.
The divide-and-conquer algorithm invoked on such $X$ is dubbed Fast Fourier Transform. Why is the name? Recall equation (2): the van der Monde matrix contains entries $x_{j}^{k}=\exp \left(\mathrm{i} \cdot \frac{2 \pi j k}{m+1}\right)=\cos \left(\frac{2 \pi j k}{m+1}\right)+\mathrm{i}$. $\sin \left(\frac{2 \pi j k}{m+1}\right)$, which form a Fourier basis. So evaluating $p$ at $X$ is equivalent to mixing the sine/cosine waves of different frequencies via coefficients $p$. In Fourier analysis jargon, the standard representation lives in frequency domain, and the functional representation lives in time domain.

How do we convert from functional representation back to standard representation? Let us derive an inverse formula based on equation (2). We claim that, with our choice of $X$,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \overline{x_{0}} & \cdots & \overline{x_{0}^{m}} \\
1 & \overline{x_{1}} & \cdots & \overline{x_{1}^{m}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & \overline{x_{m}} & \cdots & \overline{x_{m}^{m}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & x_{0} & \cdots & x_{0}^{m} \\
1 & x_{1} & \cdots & x_{1}^{m} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & x_{m} & \cdots & x_{m}^{m}
\end{array}\right)=(m+1) \cdot I
$$

where the bars denote complex conjugate. Indeed, we calculate the result at cell ( $j, k$ ) by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\ell=0}^{m} \overline{x_{j}^{\ell}} \cdot x_{\ell}^{k} & =\sum_{\ell=0}^{m} \exp \left(-\mathrm{i} \cdot \frac{2 \pi j \ell}{m+1}\right) \cdot \exp \left(\mathrm{i} \cdot \frac{2 \pi \ell k}{m+1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\ell=0}^{m} \exp \left(\frac{2 \pi \ell \mathrm{i}}{m+1} \cdot(k-j)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $j=k$ then the result is $m+1$. Otherwise, the summands rotate around the unit circle in the complex plane and cancel each other, so we get a zero.

With the claim we derive

$$
(m+1) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
p_{0} \\
p_{1} \\
\vdots \\
p_{n} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \overline{x_{0}} & \cdots & \overline{x_{0}^{m}} \\
1 & \overline{x_{1}} & \cdots & \overline{x_{1}^{m}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & \overline{x_{m}} & \cdots & \overline{x_{m}^{m}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{p}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\boldsymbol{p}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
\vdots \\
\boldsymbol{p}\left(x_{m}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Now comes the punchline. If we pretend $\left(\boldsymbol{p}\left(x_{0}\right), \ldots, \boldsymbol{p}\left(x_{m}\right)\right)$ as a polynomial, then $(m+1) \cdot\left(p_{0}, \ldots, p_{n}, \mathbf{0}\right)$ is exactly its evaluation at points $\overline{x_{0}}, \ldots, \overline{x_{m}}$. Since $\left\{\overline{x_{0}}, \ldots, \overline{x_{m}}\right\}=X$, we can recover all information by just calling evaluate $\left(\left(\boldsymbol{p}\left(x_{0}\right), \ldots, \boldsymbol{p}\left(x_{m}\right)\right), X\right)$ !


[^0]:    *. The note is inspired by a lecture by Erik Demaine.

